IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

TUESDAY, THE 30TH OCTOBER 2007 / 8TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 177 of 2007(U)

PETITIONER:

AMBILY ARJUNAN, KOLLAMMAVUDY HOUSE,
ADIMALY P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.N.RADHAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SECRETARY KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT OFFICER, KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, IDUKKI.

R2 & R3 BY ADV.SRI.ALEXANDER THOMAS
R1 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

W.P.(C).No.177 of 2007 U

Dated this the 30th day of October, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The Public Service Commission issued Ext.P1 notification dated 31.12.2005 inviting applications for the post of L.D.Typist in various departments. Qualification prescribed for the said post was:

a) S.S.L.C.

b) Malayalam Typewriting (L) K.G.T.E.

c) English Typewriting (L) K.G.T.E.

d) Computer Word Processing or equivalent certificate (G.O.(P)No.19/04 dated 8.12.2004).

2. The petitioner admittedly did not possess computer word processing certificate on the date of the notification. In fact, the case of the petitioner in paragraph 3 of the writ petition reads as follows:

> **"A few months after Ext.P1 notification petitioner acquired the said qualification and she is prepared to produce the same at the time of interview for selection to the post of L.D.Typist."**

3. It is, therefore, clear that the petitioner was not qualified on the date of the notification. In the

circumstances, the petitioner cannot seek a direction to the Public Service Commission to treat her as qualified in terms of the notification or seek any other consequential relief.

Writ petition is bereft of merits and the same is dismissed.

Sd/-
(V.GIRI)
JUDGE

sk/

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge